

In Search of the $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^\bullet$ Radical: Experimental and Computational Investigations of the Redox Chemistry of Group 15 Bis-boraamidinates

Jari Konu,[†] Heikki M. Tuononen,[‡] Tristram Chivers,^{*,†} Andrea M. Corrente,[†] René T. Boéré,[§] and Tracey L. Roemmele[§]

Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4, Canada, Department of Chemistry, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, Jyväskylä, FI-40014, Finland, and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta T1K 3M4, Canada

Received December 17, 2007

DFT calculations for the group 15 radicals $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{M}^\bullet$ ($\text{M} = \text{P}, \text{As}, \text{Sb}, \text{Bi}$) predict a pnictogen-centered SOMO with smaller contributions to the unpaired spin density arising from the nitrogen and boron atoms. The reactions of $\text{Li}_2[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-NR})_2]$ ($\text{R} = ^i\text{Bu}, \text{Dipp}$) with PCl_3 afforded the unsolvated complex $\text{LiP}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2$ (**1a**) in low yield and $\text{ClP}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-NDipp})_2]$ (**2**), both of which were structurally characterized. Efforts to produce the arsenic-centered neutral radical, $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^\bullet$, via oxidation of $\text{LiAs}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2$ with one-half equivalent of SO_2Cl_2 , yielded the zwitterionic compound $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2\text{As}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2\text{B}(\text{Cl})\text{Ph}]$ (**3**) containing one four-coordinate boron center with a B–Cl bond. The reaction of **3** with GaCl_3 produced the ion-separated salt, $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^+\text{GaCl}_4^-$ (**4**), which was characterized by X-ray crystallography. The reduction of **3** with sodium naphthalenide occurred by a two-electron process to give the corresponding anion $[[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}]^-$ as the sodium salt. Voltammetric investigations of **4** and $\text{LiAs}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2$ (**1b**) revealed irreversible processes. Attempts to generate the neutral radical $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^\bullet$ from these ionic complexes via in situ electrolysis did not produce an EPR-active species.

Introduction

The dianionic boraamidinate (*bam*) ligand, $[\text{RB}(\text{NR}')_2]^{2-}$, is formally isoelectronic with the extensively studied monoanionic amidinate (*am*) ligand $[\text{RC}(\text{NR}')_2]^-$. Recent work on early main-group and transition-metal complexes has established that the 2^- charge on the *bam* ligand results in some interesting fundamental differences compared to the behavior of the monoanionic *am* ligand. The 2^- charge lowers the requirement for ancillary ligands around high oxidation state metal centers. Consequently, homoleptic species of the type $[\text{ML}_2]^{x-}$ ($x = 0, 1, 2$) and $[\text{ML}_3]^{2-}$ ($\text{L} = \text{bam}$) are common features of main-group and transition-metal complexes.^{1–3}

Perhaps the most intriguing consequence of the 2^- charge is the facile tendency for redox transformations to occur in which the $[\text{bam}]^{2-}$ dianion is oxidized to the corresponding monoanion radical $[\text{bam}]^\bullet$. This paramagnetic ligand can be stabilized through chelation, e.g., to early main-group metals.^{3–5} In the case of certain group 13 metals, it has been possible to isolate *stable* neutral radicals, for example, the highly colored spirocyclic systems $\{\text{M}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2\}^\bullet$ ($\text{M} = \text{Al}, \text{Ga}$) and determine their X-ray structures.⁴ The SOMO in these radicals is located primarily and equally in p-orbitals on the four nitrogen atoms of the two *bam* ligands; there is very little unpaired electron density on the group 13 centers. The corresponding boron- and indium-containing radicals $\{\text{M}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Bu})_2]_2\}^\bullet$ ($\text{M} = \text{B}, \text{In}$) were characterized in

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Telephone: (403) 220-5741, Fax: (403) 289-9488, E-mail: chivers@ucalgary.ca.

[†] University of Calgary.

[‡] University of Jyväskylä.

[§] University of Lethbridge.

(1) For a recent review, see Fedorchuk, C.; Copey, M. C.; Chivers, T. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *251*, 897.

(2) Manke, D. R.; Nocera, D. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 4431.


(3) Chivers, T.; Fedorchuk, C.; Schatte, G.; Parvez, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 2084.

(4) Chivers, T.; Eisler, D. J.; Fedorchuk, C.; Schatte, G.; Tuononen, H. M.; Boéré, R. T. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 3930.

(5) Chivers, T.; Eisler, D. J.; Fedorchuk, C.; Schatte, G.; Tuononen, H. M.; Boéré, R. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 2119.

solution by EPR spectroscopy.⁵ However, the indium radical is not sufficiently stable to be isolated in the solid state, while the boron analogue, although it is thermally very stable, could not be obtained in a pure form.

In a recent investigation, we reported the first examples of heavy group 15 boraamidates in which the versatile coordinating ability of the *bam* ligand was highlighted.⁶ In addition to the monobams $\text{ClM}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]$ (**A**; $\text{M} = \text{As, Sb, Bi}$) with a potentially useful $\text{M}-\text{Cl}$ reactive site, the unusual 2:3 *bam* complexes, $\text{M}_2[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_3$ (**B**; $\text{M} = \text{Sb, Bi}$), displayed a unique bonding arrangement in which two metal centers are each N, N' -chelated by one *bam* ligand and the two $[\text{M}(\text{bam})]^+$ units are bridged by the third $[\text{bam}]^{2-}$ ligand. For the 1:2 group 15 *bam* systems, both solvated complexes $(\text{Et}_2\text{O})\text{LiM}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2$ (**C**; $\text{M} = \text{As, Bi}$) and unsolvated ladder structures $\text{LiM}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2$ (**D**; $\text{M} = \text{As, Sb}$) were observed; these complexes showed interesting fluxional behavior in solution (Berry pseudorotation) in the case of $\text{M} = \text{Sb, Bi}$.⁶ Although monofunctional phosphorus-containing *bam* complexes of the type $\text{XP}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl, Br}$; type **A**) are known,⁷ a bis-*bam* complex $\text{LiP}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2$ (type **C** or **D**) has not been reported.



In the current study, we were primarily interested in radicals of the type $\{\text{M}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\}^{\cdot}$ ($\text{M} = \text{group 15 element}$) in order to determine the effect of the additional pair of electrons on the molecular and electronic structures of the group 15 systems in comparison with those of the well-characterized group 13 analogues ($\text{M} = \text{Al, Ga}$).^{4,5} In this context, we report (a) the results of DFT calculations of the molecular structures and EPR parameters of the pnictogen-centered radicals⁷ $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-NR})_2]_2\text{M}^{\cdot}$ ($\text{M} = \text{P, As, Sb, Bi}$; $\text{R} = \text{Me, }^t\text{Bu}$); (b) the synthesis and X-ray structures of $\text{LiP}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2$ (**1a**) and $\text{ClP}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-NDipp})_2]_2$ (**2**); (c)

the synthesis and X-ray structures of the Zwitterionic complex $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{As}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{B}(\text{Cl})\text{Ph}]$ (**3**) and the ion-separated salt $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^+\text{GaCl}_4^-$ (**4**); (d) the chemical reduction of **3** and the cation $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^+$; and (e) voltammetric studies of the bis(boraamidinate)arsenic system.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All reactions and the manipulations of products were performed under an argon atmosphere by using standard Schlenk techniques or an inert atmosphere glovebox. The compounds PhBCl_2 (Aldrich, 97%), GaCl_3 (Aldrich, 99.99%), AsCl_3 (Alfa, 99%), $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]\text{Br}_3$ (Aldrich, 98%), and $\text{NO}[\text{SbF}_6]$ (Aldrich, 99.9%) were used as received. PCl_3 (Aldrich, 99%) was distilled prior to use. $\text{LiN}(\text{H})^t\text{Bu}$ was prepared by the addition of $^t\text{BuLi}$ to a solution of anhydrous $^t\text{BuNH}_2$ in *n*-hexane at -10°C and its purity was checked by ^1H NMR spectroscopy. The compounds $\text{PhB}[\text{N}(\text{H})^t\text{Bu}]_2$,^{8a} $\text{Li}_2[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]$,^{8a} $\text{Li}_2[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-NDipp})_2]$ ^{8b}, and $\text{LiAs}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2$ ⁶ (**1b**) were prepared as described earlier. The solvents *n*-hexane, toluene, Et_2O , and THF were dried by distillation over Na/benzophenone , and the solvents dichloromethane and acetonitrile were distilled from CaH_2 under an argon atmosphere prior to use. Electrochemical-grade tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{PF}_6]$ (Fluka) was used as the supporting electrolyte and was kept in a desiccator prior to use. Ferrocene, prepared following the procedure described by Jolly,⁹ was sublimed prior to use. Elemental analyses were performed by Analytical Services, Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, and Canadian Microanalytical Service Ltd., Vancouver, British Columbia.

Spectroscopic Methods. The ^1H , ^7Li , ^{11}B , ^{13}C , ^{31}P , and ^{71}Ga NMR spectra were obtained in CD_2Cl_2 , d_8 -THF or d_8 -toluene at 23°C on a Bruker DRX 400 spectrometer operating at 399.59, 155.30, 128.20, 100.49, 161.77, and 121.87 MHz, respectively. ^1H and ^{13}C spectra are referenced to the solvent signal and the chemical shifts are reported relative to $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{Si}$. ^7Li and ^{11}B NMR spectra are referenced externally, and the chemical shifts are reported relative to a 1.0 M solution of LiCl in D_2O and to a solution of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ in C_6D_6 , respectively. Similarly, ^{31}P and ^{71}Ga NMR spectra are referenced externally and the chemical shifts are reported relative to an 85% solution of H_3PO_4 and to a solution of $\text{Ga}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ in D_2O , respectively.

Electrochemical Methods and Procedures. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were obtained at temperatures of $21 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ in acetonitrile, dichloromethane, and tetrahydrofuran solutions containing 0.1 M, 0.4 M, and 0.4 M $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{PF}_6]$, respectively, as the supporting electrolyte. CVs were executed by using a PARSTAT 2273 computer-controlled potentiostat with *PowerSuite* (v 2.58) software. The cell design consisted of a conventional three-electrode setup with a 3.0 mm diameter glassy carbon (GC), a 1.6 mm diameter platinum (Pt), or a 1.6 mm diameter gold (Au) working electrode; a Pt wire auxiliary, and a silver wire quasi-reference electrode. The reference electrode was separated from the bulk solution by a fine-porosity frit. CVs were obtained over scan rates $0.1\text{--}20\text{ V s}^{-1}$. All potentials are reported vs the operative formal potential, E_{Fc}^0 , for the Fc^+/Fc redox couple ($\text{Fc} = \text{ferrocene}$), which was used as an internal standard. The electrodes were polished with $0.05\ \mu\text{m}$ alumina on a clean polishing cloth (Buehler, USA), rinsed with distilled water, and dried with tissue paper prior to use. The

(6) Konu, J.; Balakrishna, M. S.; Chivers, T.; Swaddle, T. W. *Inorg. Chem.*, **2007**, *46*, 2627.

(7) In this paper, the term "pnictogen" refers to the central, heavy group 15 element (P, As, Sb, Bi) in all spirocyclic complexes.

(8) (a) Chivers, T.; Fedorchuk, C.; Schatte, G.; Brask, J. K. *Can. J. Chem.* **2002**, *80*, 821. (b) Chivers, T.; Fedorchuk, C.; Parvez, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 2643.

(9) Jolly, W. L. *The Synthesis and Characterization of Inorganic Compounds*; Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1970; p 484.

Table 1. Crystallographic Data for LiP[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ (**1a**), CIP[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂] (**2**), [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂As(μ -N'Bu)₂B(Cl)Ph] (**3**), and [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂As⁺GaCl₄⁻ (**4**)^a

	1a	2	3	4
empirical formula	C ₂₈ H ₄₆ B ₂ LiN ₄ P	C ₃₀ H ₃₉ BClN ₂ P	C ₂₈ H ₄₆ AsB ₂ ClN ₄	C ₂₈ H ₄₆ AsB ₂ Cl ₄ GaN ₄
fw	498.22	504.86	570.68	746.75
cryst. system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	C2/c	P2 ₁ /c	P2 ₁ /n	P2 ₁ /n
<i>a</i> , Å	25.993(5)	15.876(3)	10.700(2)	10.690(2)
<i>b</i> , Å	8.674(2)	12.416(3)	16.593(3)	37.733(8)
<i>c</i> , Å	18.342(4)	15.917(4)	17.521(4)	18.605(4)
α , deg.	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
β , deg.	134.55(3)	115.22(3)	100.83(3)	91.31(3)
γ , deg.	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
<i>V</i> , Å ³	2947(2)	2839(1)	3055(1)	7503(3)
<i>Z</i>	4	4	4	8
<i>T</i> , °C	-100	-100	-100	-100
ρ_{calcd} , g/cm ³	1.123	1.181	1.241	1.322
μ (Mo K α), mm ⁻¹	0.116	0.212	1.223	1.917
crystal size, mm ³	0.12 × 0.06 × 0.02	0.10 × 0.08 × 0.06	0.24 × 0.20 × 0.02	0.20 × 0.12 × 0.08
<i>F</i> (000)	1080	1080	1208	3072
Θ range, deg	3.40–25.03	3.27–25.03	3.47–25.03	2.18–25.03
refl. collected	4614	15549	8669	22880
unique refls	2578	4804	5343	13105
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.0228	0.0539	0.0352	0.0511
refl. [<i>I</i> > 2 σ (<i>I</i>)]	2200	3679	3999	8641
<i>R</i> ₁ [<i>I</i> > 2 σ (<i>I</i>)] ^b	0.0641	0.0435	0.0435	0.0598
<i>wR</i> ₂ (all data) ^c	0.1875	0.1100	0.1014	0.1494
GOF on <i>F</i> ²	1.084	1.019	1.048	1.015
completeness	0.993	0.960	0.990	0.989

^a λ (Mo K α) = 0.71073 Å. ^b $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|$. ^c $wR_2 = [\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \sum wF_o^4]^{1/2}$.

solution was purged with dry nitrogen for 10 min directly before use, and a blanket of nitrogen gas covered the solution during all experiments. The cell design for all simultaneous electrochemical electron paramagnetic resonance (SEPR) experiments has been described previously.¹⁰ This cell was placed inside a Bruker EMX 113 spectrometer operating at X-band frequencies (9.8 GHz) at 18 ± 2 °C.

X-ray Crystallography. Crystals of LiP[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ (**1a**), CIP[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂] (**2**), [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂As(μ -N'Bu)₂B(Cl)Ph] (**3**), and [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂As(GaCl₄) (**4**) were coated with Paratone 8277 oil and mounted on a glass fiber. Diffraction data were collected on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer using monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at -100 °C. The data sets were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and empirical absorption correction was applied to the net intensities. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97¹¹ and refined using SHELXL-97.¹² After the full-matrix least-squares refinement of the nonhydrogen atoms with anisotropic thermal parameters, the hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions [C–H = 0.98 Å for C(CH₃)₃ and 0.95 Å for phenyl hydrogens]. The isotropic thermal parameters of the hydrogen atoms were fixed at 1.2 times that of the corresponding carbon for phenyl hydrogens, and 1.5 times for C(CH₃)₃. In the final refinement, the hydrogen atoms were riding on their respective carbon atoms.

Similarly to the structures of LiM[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ (M = As, Sb),⁶ the lithium and phosphorus atom positions in the structure of **1a** were disordered with the atoms distributed over the two atomic sites. The two atoms were not constrained to locate in the same position, but the anisotropic thermal parameters were restricted to be equal. The site occupation factors were fixed at 50% for these atoms. The crystal structure of **4** exhibits two discrete ion pairs in which the second GaCl₄⁻ anion shows

disorder in the chlorine atom positions. In the final refinement, the site occupation factors for these atoms were approximately 80/20%. The scattering factors for the neutral atoms were those incorporated with the programs. Crystallographic data are summarized in Table 1.

Computational Details. The geometries of all studied compounds were optimized by using DFT. The calculations utilized both the hybrid PBE0 exchange-correlation functional for Me-substituted systems as well as its nonhybrid GGA variant PBEPBE for 'Bu-substituted systems.¹³ Ahlrichs' triple- ζ valence basis sets augmented by one set of polarization functions (TZVP) were employed in all calculations.¹⁴ For heavy atoms antimony and bismuth, the corresponding ECP basis sets were used.^{14,15} Appropriate molecular point groups and the resolution-of-the-identity approximation were used to improve the efficiency of the calculations. Geometry optimizations were done with *Turbomole 5.9.1*¹⁶ (R = 'Bu) and *Gaussian 03*¹⁷ (R = Me) program packages.

Hyperfine coupling constants were calculated for all paramagnetic systems in their geometry-optimized structures by using both a nonrelativistic and a scalar relativistic (ZORA) approach¹⁸ within the unrestricted Kohn–Sham formalism. The nonrelativistic calculations utilized the same basis sets as the geometry optimizations, but only the hybrid version of the PBEPBE functional was employed. However, for the heavier nuclei antimony and bismuth, the use of ECP basis sets prevents the direct determination of

- (10) Boeré, R. T.; Bond, A. M.; Chivers, T.; Feldberg, S. W.; Roemmele, T. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 5596.
 (11) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-97, Program for Crystal Structure Determination, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
 (12) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

- (13) (a) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865. (b) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*, 1396. (c) Perdew, J. P.; Ernzerhof, M.; Burke, K. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 9982. (d) Ernzerhof, M.; Scuseria, G. E. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 5029.
 (14) The basis sets were used as they are referenced in the Turbomole 5.9.1 internal basis set library. Seeftp://ftp.chemie.uni-karlsruhe.de/pub/ for explicit basis set listings.
 (15) Bergner, A.; Dolg, M.; Kuechle, W.; Stoll, H.; Preuss, H. *Mol. Phys.* **1993**, *80*, 1431.
 (16) (a) *TURBOMOLE, Program Package for ab initio Electronic Structure Calculations, Version 5.9.1 Theoretical Chemistry Group*, University of Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2007; (b) Ahlrichs, R.; Bär, M.; Häser, M.; Horn, H.; Kölmel, C. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *162*, 165.

Table 2. Calculated Hyperfine Coupling Constants for [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂M' [G]

	<i>n</i> ^a	M = ³¹ P	M = ⁷⁵ As	M = ¹²¹ Sb	M = ²⁰⁹ Bi
¹¹ B	2	-1.9	-3.7	-3.9	-5.6
¹⁴ N	2	12.8	11.4	9.3	6.2
¹⁴ N	2	-1.8	-2.0	-2.0	-0.1
M	1	514.4	491.4	758.0	572.4

^a Number of equivalent nuclei.

hyperfine couplings using the same method. In addition, relativistic calculations are essential in order to obtain more than a qualitative accuracy for systems containing heavy nuclei. Thus, relativistic calculations for systems with Sb and Bi atoms were carried out. The calculations utilized the PBEPBE GGA functional¹³ together with the large TZ2P STO-type basis sets.¹⁹ The hyperfine coupling constant calculations were done with the *Gaussian 03*¹⁷ (nonrelativistic) and ADF 2007.01²⁰ program packages (relativistic). The values reported in Table 2 are nonrelativistic for the lighter nuclei and scalar-relativistic for the heavier atoms antimony and bismuth.

Synthesis of Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ (1a). A solution of Li₂[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂] (0.244 g, 1.00 mmol) in 15 mL of Et₂O was added to a solution of PCl₃ (0.069 g, 0.50 mmol) in 15 mL of Et₂O at -80 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for ½ h at -80 °C and 16 h at 23 °C. LiCl was removed by filtration and the solvent was concentrated to ca. 2 mL. Crystallization from diethyl ether afforded **1a** after 5 days at 5 °C (0.062 g, 25%). ¹H NMR (toluene-*d*₈, 23 °C): δ 6.16–7.70 [m, 10H, C₆H₅], 1.46 [d, ⁴J(¹H,³¹P) = 2.4 Hz, 9H, C(CH₃)₃], 1.34 [s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃], 1.16 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 34.9. ⁷Li NMR: δ 0.94. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 87.1.²¹

Synthesis of ClP[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂]₂ (2). A solution of Li₂[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂] (0.726 g, 1.60 mmol) in Et₂O (30 mL) was added to a solution of PCl₃ (0.14 mL, 1.60 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) at -80 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for ½ h at -80 °C and 18 h at 23 °C. The volatiles were removed *in vacuo* and the product was then extracted with *n*-hexane. The precipitate of LiCl was removed by filtration and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, yielding an oily product that solidified to give a pale yellow powder of **2** after 24 h at 23 °C (0.648 g, 1.30 mmol, 80%). Anal. Calcd. for C₃₀H₃₉BN₂PCl: C, 71.37; H, 7.78; N,

5.55. Found: C, 71.35; H, 8.24; N, 5.45. ¹H NMR (toluene-*d*₈, 23 °C): δ 7.26–6.37 [m, 11H, aromatic], 4.18 [sept., 2H, -CH(CH₃)₂ of Dipp groups, ³J(¹H,¹H) = 6.8 Hz], 3.64 [2H, -CH(CH₃)₂ of Dipp groups, ³J(¹H,¹H) = 6.8 Hz], 1.37 [d, 6H, -CH(CH₃)₂ of Dipp groups, ³J(¹H,¹H) = 6.8 Hz], 1.27 [d, 6H, -CH(CH₃)₂ of Dipp groups, ³J(¹H,¹H) = 6.8 Hz], 1.16 [d, 6H, -CH(CH₃)₂ of Dipp groups, ³J(¹H,¹H) = 6.8 Hz], 1.06 [d, 6H, -CH(CH₃)₂ of Dipp groups, ³J(¹H,¹H) = 6.8 Hz]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 147.9 [d, ²J(¹³C,³¹P) = 7.6 Hz], 145.8 [d, ²J(¹³C,³¹P) = 6.0 Hz], 134.7, 134.5 [d, ³J(¹³C,³¹P) = 14.8 Hz], 132.2, 128, 127.7, 124.4, 29.72 [d, ⁴J(¹³C,³¹P) = 26.4 Hz], 28.76, 25.32 [d, ⁴J(¹³C,³¹P) = 16.8 Hz], 24.78, 24.5, 23.98. ¹¹B NMR: δ 32.8. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 189.8. Colorless crystals of **2** were grown from *n*-hexane after 5 d at 5 °C.

Synthesis of [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂As(μ -N'Bu)₂B(Cl)Ph] (3). A solution of **1b** (0.271 g, 0.50 mmol) in diethyl ether (25 mL) was cooled to -80 °C and a solution of SO₂Cl₂ (0.040 mL, 0.067 g, 0.50 mmol) in diethyl ether (1.0 mL) was added via syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred for ½ h at -80 °C and ca. 4 h at room temperature. LiCl was removed by filtration and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum to give **3** as a white solid (0.243 g, 85%). ¹H NMR (toluene-*d*₈, 23 °C): δ 6.98–8.24 [m, 10H, C₆H₅], 1.43 [s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃], 1.33 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃], 1.25 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 126.7–131.1 [C₆H₅], 55.6 [s, C(CH₃)₃], 55.0 [s, C(CH₃)₃], 54.3 [s, C(CH₃)₃], 32.7 [s, C(CH₃)₃], 32.6 [s, C(CH₃)₃], 32.5 [s, C(CH₃)₃]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 37.3, 7.6 ppm. Colorless crystals of **3** were obtained from Et₂O after 8 d at 5 °C.²¹

Synthesis of [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂As⁺GaCl₄⁻] (4). A solution of **3** (0.285 g, 0.50 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 mL) was cooled to -80 °C and a solution of GaCl₃ (0.088 g, 0.50 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 mL) was added via cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred for ½ h at -80 °C and ca. 2 h at room temperature. The precipitate was allowed to settle and the solvent was decanted via cannula. The product was then washed with Et₂O (2 × 20 mL) and dried under vacuum giving **4** as a white, spectroscopically pure powder (0.306 g, 82%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 23 °C): δ 7.51–7.56 [m, 10H, C₆H₅], 1.44 [s, 36H, C(CH₃)₃]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 129.0–131.0 [C₆H₅], 57.7 [C(CH₃)₃], 32.3 [C(CH₃)₃]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 37.8. ⁷¹Ga NMR: δ 249.6. Colorless crystals of **4** were obtained from *n*-hexane layered on top of the THF solution after 24 h at 5 °C.²¹

- (17) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03*, (Revision C.02), Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.
- (18) (a) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *99*, 4597. (b) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J.; Snijders, J. G. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *101*, 9783. (c) van Lenthe, E.; Ehlers, A. E.; Baerends, E. J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 8943.
- (19) (a) van Lenthe, E.; Baerends, E. J. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2003**, *24*, 1142. (b) Chong, D. P.; Lenthe, E.; van Gisbergen, S. J. A.; Baerends, E. J. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, *25*, 1030.
- (20) ADF2007.01, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, Netherlands; <http://www.scm.com>.
- (21) Although the product was spectroscopically pure, on the basis of multinuclear (¹H, ¹³C, ¹¹B) NMR spectra, numerous attempts, by both in-house and commercial services, gave unsatisfactory elemental analyses.

Results and Discussion

DFT Calculations of the Neutral Radicals [PhB(μ -NR)₂]₂M' (M = P, As, Sb, Bi; R = Me, 'Bu). Our earlier DFT calculations on the model group 13 radicals [PhB(μ -NMe)₂]₂M' (M = B, Al, Ga, In) revealed spirocyclic structures with spin density equally distributed over the two *bam* ligands and the SOMO distributed equally over p-orbitals on each of the four nitrogen atoms.^{4,5} By contrast, DFT calculations for the corresponding group 15 systems [PhB(μ -NMe)₂]₂M' predict two different types of electronic structures. For the lighter group 15 bis-*bams* (M = P, As, Sb), the calculations reveal a distorted C₂ symmetric trigonal bipyramidal geometry with the SOMO located primarily on the group 15 center (Figure 1a). For the bismuth-containing bis-*bam* radical, the calculations predict a highly distorted C₁ symmetric structure with one long (2.51 Å) and one short (2.16 Å) Bi–N bond as well as a SOMO which is mainly located on the nitrogen atoms of one of the two chelating *bam*-ligands (Figure 1b). As a consequence, large (several hundred Gauss) hyperfine coupling constants for the group




Figure 1. SOMOs (isosurface value ± 0.06) of the neutral [PhB(μ -NMe)₂] M^{\bullet} radicals; (a) $M = P, As, Sb$; (b) $M = Bi$. Electronic structures of the ^tBu-derivatives, [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂] M^{\bullet} ($M = P, As, Sb, Bi$), are analogous to that presented in Figure 1a.

15 center are expected in the EPR spectra of the pnictogen-centered radicals [PhB(μ -NMe)₂] M^{\bullet} ($M = P, As, \text{ and } Sb$).⁷ In contrast, the EPR spectra of the analogous bismuth species should display at least 1 order of magnitude smaller Bi coupling.

Interestingly, calculations done for the more realistic N'Bu substituted derivatives gave a C_2 -symmetric trigonal bipyramidal geometry also for the bismuth species. Hence, the N'Bu-substituted bismuth species is also predicted to be a pnictogen-centered radical. This change in geometry most likely originates from a combination of steric reasons (i.e., due to increased steric bulk of the N'Bu substituents) and electronic factors (i.e., a slight change in MO characteristics due to the difference in N-substituent). The optimized geometries of all of the lighter group 15 congeners are in good agreement with the structures obtained for the NMe derivatives and show only small changes in their calculated hyperfine coupling constants (see Table 2 for values calculated for N'Bu-substituted derivatives [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂] M^{\bullet}).

This fundamental difference between the electronic structures of the group 13 radicals [PhB(μ -NR)₂] M^{\bullet} ($M = B, Al, Ga, In$) and their group 15 analogues, predicted by the DFT calculations, provided the incentive for investigations of the chemical or electrochemical generation of the pnictogen-centered radicals [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂] M^{\bullet} ($M = P, As, Sb, Bi$).⁷

Synthesis and Chemical Oxidation of LiP[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ (1a): X-ray Structures of 1a and ClP[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂]₂ (2). In order to complete the series of bis-bam complexes of group 15 elements of the type LiM[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ ($M = P, As, Sb, Bi$),⁶ and to provide a potential source of the phosphorus-centered radical [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂] P^{\bullet} , the reaction between PCl₃ and Li₂[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂] was carried out in a 1:2 molar ratio. The new phosphorus-containing complex LiP[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ (**1a**) was isolated in 25% yield (Scheme 1) and characterized in solution by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and in the solid state by a single-crystal X-ray structure determination.





Figure 2. Crystal structures of (a) LiP[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ (**1a**), and (b) ClP[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂]₂ (**2**) with the atomic numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. ^a Symmetry operation: $-x, y, 0.5 - z$.

Scheme 1



The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1a** at room temperature in toluene shows a doublet and two singlets in a 1:2:1 intensity ratio for the ^tBu groups and a multiplet for phenyl hydrogens in the expected intensity ratios. The ⁷Li, ¹¹B, and ³¹P NMR spectra exhibit singlets at 0.94, 34.9, and 87.1 ppm, respectively. The NMR spectroscopic data for **1a** resemble those of the corresponding arsenic complex LiAs[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ (**1b**),⁶ suggesting a similar ladder structure for **1a**.

The single-crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis confirmed the isostructural nature of **1a** and the heavier group 15 analogues LiM[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ ($M = As, Sb$).⁶ As illustrated in Figure 2a, the molecular structure of **1a** is composed of two four-membered rings, BN₂Li and BN₂P, connected by Li–N and P–N bonds to form a tricyclic compound with both three- and four-coordinate nitrogens. The B–N bond lengths in **1a** show a slight inequality of ca. 0.04 Å (Table 3). Expectedly, the P–N bond to three-coordinate nitrogen is ca. 0.12 Å shorter than those involving the four-coordinate nitrogens. The central four-membered PN₂Li ring in **1a** is nonplanar with mean torsion angles involving the four atoms of ca. 6.5°. The three-coordinate boron and nitrogen atoms in **1a** show a cis arrangement with respect to the central four-membered ring similar to that observed in LiM[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂ ($M = As, Sb$).⁶

The oxidation of **1a** with SO₂Cl₂ in both 2:1 and 1:1 molar ratios in diethyl ether was investigated. The attempted one-

Table 3. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) in LiP[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂ (**1a**) and ClP[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂] (**2**)

	1a		2	
P1–N1	1.690(4)	1.713(2)	B1–N2	1.455(4) 1.455(3)
P1–N2	1.834(4)	1.716(2)	Li1 ^a –N2	1.97(2)
P1–X	1.789(4) ^b	2.099(1) ^c	Li1 ^a –N1 ^a	1.76(3)
B1–N1	1.416(4)	1.447(3)	Li1 ^a –N2 ^a	2.01(3)
N1–P1–N2	111.1(2)	79.4(1)	P1–N1–B1	83.5(2) 91.0(1)
N1–P1–X	83.5(2) ^b	103.4(1) ^c	P1–N2 ^a –B1	78.9(2) 90.6(1) ^d
N2–P1–X	103.8(1) ^b	104.2(1) ^c	N1 ^a –B1 ^a –N2	107.7(2)
N1–B1–N2 ^a	107.7(2)	98.0(2) ^d	B1 ^a –N2–P1	125.2(2)

^a Symmetry operation: $-x, y, 0.5 - z$. ^b X = N2^a. ^c X = Cl1. ^d No symmetry operation on N2 atom.


electron oxidation did not generate any EPR-active species, and both reactions resulted in multiple products. The ¹¹B NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures showed the presence of both three- and four-coordinate boron centers, cf. formation of **3** described below. The ³¹P NMR spectra revealed two main products with singlets at 6.0 and –69.9 ppm, comprising ca. 70% of the phosphorus-containing compounds; however, no tractable products were isolated from either reaction.

With a view to generating the sterically protected phosphorus-centered radical [PhB(μ -NDipp)₂]₂P[•], the reaction between PCl₃ and Li₂[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂] was conducted in a 1:2 molar ratio. However, the ³¹P NMR spectrum revealed a complex mixture of products. Consequently, we decided to attempt installation of the two *bam* ligands on the phosphorus center in a stepwise fashion, a process that had previously been found to be necessary for the successful synthesis of the bis-*bam* aluminum complex (Et₂O•Li)Al[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂.⁴ The reaction between PCl₃ and Li₂[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂] in a 1:1 molar ratio produced an 80% yield of ClP[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂] (**2**) (Scheme 1), which was characterized in solution by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and in the solid state by a single crystal X-ray structure determination. Subsequent reaction between **2** and a second equivalent of Li₂[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂], however, also failed to generate the bis-*bam* complex, LiP[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂].

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** shows the expected resonances for the phenyl and Dipp groups. The ¹¹B NMR spectrum exhibits a singlet at 32.8 ppm and a single resonance is observed at 189.8 ppm in the ³¹P NMR spectrum, cf. δ (³¹P) 181.2 for ClP[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂].^{8a} The crystal structure of **2** (Figure 2b) confirms the isostructural relationship with the other structurally characterized group 15 monobams, XM[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂] (X = Br, M = P; X = Cl, M = As, Sb, Bi).^{6,8a} In common with the lighter group 15 monobams, XM[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂] (X = Br, M = P; X = Cl, M = As), **2** does not exhibit the significant intermolecular M^{•••}Cl close contacts that were observed for the heavier group 15 congeners (X = Cl, M = Sb, Bi).⁶ The P–N bonds in **2** (Table 3) are somewhat elongated (by ca. 0.03 Å) compared to those in the *tert*-butyl derivative BrP[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂],^{8a} whereas the B–N bond lengths in **2** are in the typical range for the *bam* ligand.¹ The calculated P–Cl bond order in **2**

(0.97)²² is somewhat higher than the value of ca. 0.83 observed for P–Br, As–Cl, and Sb–Cl bonds in XM[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂] (X = Br, M = P; X = Cl, M = As, Sb).⁶ Steric crowding of the Dipp groups does not have an effect on the N–P–N and N–P–Cl bond angles as indicated by the similarity of these values to those observed in BrP[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂].^{8a} Consistently, the P–N–B and N–B–N angles are also comparable with those observed in BrP[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂].²⁴

Chemical Oxidation of LiAs[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂ (1b**): Synthesis and Characterization of [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂As(μ -N^tBu)₂B(Cl)Ph] (**3**).** Since the group 13 radicals [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂M[•] (M = Al, Ga) are readily obtained from the corresponding anions by one-electron oxidation with iodine,⁴ we initially attempted to generate [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂As[•] by oxidation of **1b** with 1/2 equiv of I₂, but no reaction was observed. The oxidizing agents [ⁿBu₄N]Br₃ and NO[SbF₆] were also ineffective. Consequently, we turned our attention to the stronger oxidizing agent sulfonyl chloride. The reaction of 1/2 equiv of SO₂Cl₂ with **1b** produced LiCl and an almost colorless reaction solution, suggesting the absence of a radical species. A multinuclear NMR spectroscopic analysis (¹H, ⁷Li, ¹¹B, ¹³C) of the reaction mixture showed the presence of some unreacted **1b**, as well as a product that exhibits three N^tBu resonances with relative intensities 2:1:1 (¹H, ¹³C), two different environments for boron centers (¹¹B), and no lithium atoms (⁷Li). Specifically, singlets were observed at 37.3 and 7.6 ppm in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum, suggesting the presence of both three-coordinate and four-coordinate boron atoms, respectively. In light of these NMR data, the reaction of SO₂Cl₂ with **1b** was carried out in a 1:1 molar ratio and the product [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂As(μ -N^tBu)₂B(Cl)Ph] (**3**) was isolated in 85% yield (1), and identified by an X-ray crystallographic analysis.



The crystal structure of **3** with the atomic numbering scheme is depicted in Figure 3a, and the pertinent bond parameters are summarized in Table 4. The structure consists of a spirocyclic arsenic complex in which the arsenic center is N,N'-chelated by a *bam* ligand and a chlorinated *bam* ligand with a B–Cl bond. The solid-state structure is consistent with the observation of both three- and four-

(22) The P–Cl bond order was calculated by the Pauling equation $N = 10^{(D-R)/0.71}$,²³ where R is the observed bond length (Å). The single bond length D is estimated from the sums of appropriate covalent radii (Å): ²³ P–Cl 2.09.

(23) Pauling, L. *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 3rd ed.; Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY, 1960.

(24) In view of the multiple products detected by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy after chemical oxidation of **1a** and the difficulties involved in the purification of the antimony derivative LiSb[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂ due to the co-formation of the 2:3 complex, Sb₂[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₃,⁶ we have focused our attempts to generate group 15-centered radicals of the type [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂M[•] on the arsenic system **1b**. The oxidation of (Et₂O•Li)Bi[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂ with iodine or SO₂Cl₂, however, was conducted and resulted in the formation of a mixture of the known 2:3 *bam* complex, Bi₂[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₃,⁶ and a number of unidentified compounds.




Figure 3. (a) Molecular structure of [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂As(μ -N^tBu)₂B(Cl)Ph] (**3**) and (b) crystal structure of the [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂As⁺ cation in **4** with the atomic numbering schemes. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Only one of the two independent cations is shown in **4**.

Table 4. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) in [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂As(μ -N^tBu)₂B(Cl)Ph] (**3**) and [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂As⁺GaCl₄⁻ (**4**)

	3	4^a		3	4^a
As1–N1	1.825(3)	1.800(4)	B2–N4	1.569(5)	1.469(7)
As1–N2	1.833(3)	1.811(4)	B2–Cl1	1.953(4)	
As1–N3	1.755(3)	1.803(4)	Ga–Cl1		2.176(2)
As1–N4	1.764(3)	1.797(4)	Ga–Cl2		2.171(2)
B1–N1	1.441(5)	1.466(7)	Ga–Cl3		2.194(4)
B1–N2	1.448(5)	1.453(7)	Ga–Cl4		2.175(2)
B2–N3	1.565(5)	1.453(7)			
N1–As1–N2	75.7(1)	77.7(2)	N3–B2–C200	116.7(3)	
N1–As1–N3	127.1(1)	129.3(2)	N4–B2–C200	117.9(3)	
N1–As1–N4	126.6(1)	128.4(2)	Cl1–B2–C200	109.4(3)	
N2–As1–N3	125.1(1)	125.0(2)	Cl1–Ga1–Cl2		107.52(8)
N2–As1–N4	128.7(1)	126.4(2)	Cl1–Ga1–Cl3		109.60(7)
N3–As1–N4	81.2(1)	77.8(3)	Cl1–Ga1–Cl4		110.66(8)
N1–B1–N2	101.9(3)	101.7(4)	Cl2–Ga1–Cl3		111.18(8)
N3–B2–N4	93.8(8)	101.3(4)	Cl2–Ga1–Cl4		108.55(8)
N3–B2–Cl1	108.9(3)		Cl3–Ga1–Cl4		109.32(7)
N4–B2–Cl1	109.2(2)				

^a Bond parameters of the second discrete ion pair are identical.

coordinate boron centers and three ^tBu environments (bonded to nitrogens N1, N2, and N3/N4, respectively) in the solution NMR spectra. The B–Cl bond formation in the three-atom NBN backbone is a novel feature in the chemistry of boraamidates.¹ A similar arrangement for four-coordinate boron can be found, however, in amidinate complexes of the Ph(Cl)B unit.^{25,26}

The B–N bonds in the chlorinated *bam* ligand in **3** are ca. 0.12 Å longer than those in the nonchlorinated ligand owing to the influence of the four-coordinate boron center in the former. Concomitantly, the As–N bonds involving the chlorinated *bam* ligand are ca. 0.07 Å shorter than those in the *bam* ligand. The As–N bond lengths to the *bam* ligand are comparable to those observed in the monobam, [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]AsCl (1.839(5) and 1.846(5) Å),⁶ while the corresponding bonds to the chlorinated ligand are the shortest reported for the arsenic *bam* complexes thus far; the As–N

bond involving the three-coordinate nitrogen in **1b** has a bond length of 1.789(3) Å.⁶ These bond lengths are indicative of a Zwitterionic compound with the positive charge located mainly on arsenic and the negative charge on the four-coordinate boron center. The B–Cl bond length of 1.953(4) Å in **3** is significantly longer than those observed in amidinate complexes of the Ph(Cl)B unit (1.847(4)–1.882(6) Å), while the elongated B–N bond distances in **3** are comparable to the corresponding B–N bonds in the amidinate complexes.^{25,26} The lengthened B–N bonds in **3** are, however, among the longest observed in the three-atom NBN backbone of the *bam* ligand; similar bond lengths have been reported only when four-coordinate nitrogen atoms are involved.¹

Elongation of the B–N bonds and contraction of the As–N bonds result in a wider N–As–N angle (ca. 5.5°) within the chlorinated ligand and a narrower N–B–N angle (ca. 8.1°) at the four-coordinate boron. Although the N–B2–Cl and C–B2–Cl bond angles show ideal tetrahedral values, the remaining bond angles at the B2 atom are somewhat distorted due to the involvement of this atom in the four-membered AsNBN ring with a bite angle at boron of 93.8(8)°. The three-coordinate boron atom shows a trigonal planar geometry (sum of bond angles is 359.9°), as is typical for *bam* ligands.¹ The four-membered AsNBN rings are slightly distorted from planarity with torsion angles of ca. 2.3° and 4.5°. These two rings are almost perpendicular to each other with an angle of 87.9° between the two planes. The geometry at the spirocyclic arsonium center is distorted tetrahedral; the NAsN bite angles of 75.7(1)° for the chlorinated *bam* ligand and 81.2(1)° for the *bam* ligand, while the other NAsN bond angles are in the range 125.1(1)–128.7(1)°.²⁴

Chemical Reduction of [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂As(μ -N^tBu)₂-B(Cl)Ph] (3**).** Since oxidation of **1b** resulted in the formation of **3** via a two-electron process, we turned our attention to the reduction of **3** as a possible route to the radical [PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂As[•]. Initial attempts to reduce **3** with Ph₃Sb in Et₂O or with metallic sodium in THF were unsuccessful. Consequently, we chose sodium naphthalenide as a stronger reducing agent.²⁷

Upon addition of Na[C₁₀H₈] to a solution of **3** in THF at –80 °C, the dark green color of the naphthalenide radical anion disappeared to give a colorless solution. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture showed the presence of the compound **3**, naphthalene, and a new product with three singlets for the N^tBu-groups at 1.38, 1.29, and 1.20 ppm in a 1:2:1 intensity ratio. The ¹¹B NMR spectrum exhibited a relatively low intensity signal at 7.6 ppm for the four-coordinate boron in **3** and a broad resonance at ca. 36 ppm attributed to the three-coordinate boron atoms in both **3** (37.3 ppm) and the new product. The similarity of the ¹H NMR spectrum for the new product and that reported for the known

(27) Metallic sodium has a formal reduction potential of –3.04 V (vs Fc) in THF or glyme, while the formal reduction potential for the naphthalenide radical anion is –3.10 V (vs Fc) in THF.²⁸


(28) Connelly, N. G.; Geiger, W. E. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 877. references therein.

(29) See for example Cowley, A. H.; Carrano, C. J.; Geerts, R. L.; Jones, R. A.; Nunn, C. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1988**, *27*, 277.

(25) Blais, P.; Chivers, T.; Downard, A.; Parvez, M. *Can. J. Chem.* **2000**, *78*, 10.


(26) Findlater, M.; Hill, N. J.; Cowley, A. H. *Polyhedron* **2006**, *25*, 983.

Scheme 2



compound $\text{LiAs}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2$ (three resonances at 1.39, 1.30, and 1.22 ppm with a 1:2:1 intensity ratio)⁶ suggests that a two-electron reduction with formation of the analogous sodium salt $\text{NaAs}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2$ has occurred (Scheme 2).

Synthesis and Characterization of $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^+\text{GaCl}_4^-$ (4**).** The chemical or electrochemical reduction of the cation $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^+$ represents another potential source of the radical, $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^{\cdot}$. With this target in mind, we prepared the ion-separated salt $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^+\text{GaCl}_4^-$ (**4**) in excellent yield by the reaction of **3** with GaCl_3 in diethyl ether (eq 2). The ^1H and ^{11}B NMR spectra of **4** in CD_2Cl_2 reveal a single environment for all four ^tBu groups and a single resonance for three-coordinate boron, consistent with chloride ion abstraction from the B–Cl unit in **3**. The ^{71}Ga NMR spectrum exhibits a single resonance at 249.6 ppm, which is a typical chemical shift for the GaCl_4^- anion.²⁹



The crystal structure of **4** exhibits two independent ion pairs in the crystal lattice. Several data collections were attempted, but the second GaCl_4^- anion consistently showed disorder in the chlorine atom positions. The bond parameters of the other GaCl_4^- anion, and both of the two $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^+$ cations (Figure 3b), however, display reasonable estimated standard deviations. The As–N and B–N bond lengths in **4** are intermediate between the values observed for the two ligands in **3** (Table 4). As expected, however, they are somewhat closer to the parameters observed for the *bam* ligand in **3**. The endocyclic N–B–N and N–As–N bond angles in **4** are also close to those observed in the nonchlorinated *bam* ligand in **3**. As observed for group 13 neutral radicals $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{M}^{\cdot}$ ($\text{M} = \text{Al}, \text{Ga}$),^{3,4} the methyl groups in the ^tBu units of both ligands in **4** are staggered, whereas the B–Cl bond formation in **3** results in an eclipsed conformation. The four-membered AsNBN rings in **4** are slightly distorted from planarity with torsion angles of 1.9° and 3.4° , and the angle between these planes is only slightly closer to 90° (88.8°) than that in **3**. Finally, the bond angles in the GaCl_4^- anion are close to those of an ideal tetrahedron.³⁰




Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms of **4** (1.7 mM) and ferrocene (2.7 mM) in CH_3CN at a GC electrode at 21°C , $[\text{nBu}_4\text{N}][\text{PF}_6]$ (0.1 M), $\nu = 0.2 \text{ V s}^{-1}$: (a) immediately after the addition of ferrocene, (b) before the addition of ferrocene, and (c) several minutes after the addition of ferrocene.

Electrochemistry of $[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2\text{As}^+\text{GaCl}_4^-$ (4**) and $\text{LiAs}[\text{PhB}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]_2$ (**1b**).** Voltammetric studies performed on **4** in acetonitrile showed a single wave which was both chemically and electrochemically irreversible over scan rates $0.1\text{--}20 \text{ V s}^{-1}$, on both Pt and GC electrodes. Multiple CVs taken at the same scan rate and concentration, both before and after the addition of ferrocene (as an internal reference), resulted in variations in the cathodic peak current height, as indicated in Figure 4, with essentially invariant peak potentials near -1.3 V . The variation in the magnitude of the peak current for the reduction process can be attributed to adsorption of the radical onto the surface of the electrode. Such adsorption effects have been well-documented for thiols on metals such as gold and platinum.³¹ Thus, while the peak currents can give no information on the number of electrons transferred, the adsorption phenomenon itself supports a one-electron reduction to a reactive radical. The complete absence of a return wave (at an offset potential) suggests that radical dimerization to give an As–As bonded species is not a significant pathway under the conditions used in this study. Radical dimerization has been observed, however, in the one-electron electrochemical reduction of Ph_2AsBr , which produces $\text{Ph}_2\text{AsAsPh}_2$.³²

Voltammetric studies performed on **1b** in both dichloromethane and tetrahydrofuran on Pt, GC, and Au electrodes showed at least one oxidation process, which is also chemically and electrochemically irreversible, and takes place near the edge of the solvent window. This initial oxidation process gives rise to a return wave in THF which is offset by 1.5 V on Au (Figure 5), and by over 1.8 V on Pt (these waves are observed only after scanning through the $+1.24 \text{ V}$ process). The presence of this offset return wave may

(30) The one-electron reduction of **4** with Cp_2Co was attempted in diethyl ether at -80°C . The deep red color of Cp_2Co disappeared immediately upon dropwise addition of the reducing agent; no EPR-active species could be detected. The ^1H NMR spectrum revealed a complex mixture of products none of which could be isolated.

(31) (a) Finklea, H. O. *Electrochemistry of Organized Monolayers of Thiols and Related Molecules on Electrodes*. *Electroanalytical Chemistry*; Bard, A. J.; Rubinstein, I., Eds. Marcel Dekker: New York, 1996. (b) Lipkowski, J.; Ross, P. N., Eds. *Adsorption of Molecules at Metal Electrodes*; VCH: New York, 1992. (c) Mazur, M.; Kryszynski, P. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2002**, *106*, 10349.

(32) Dessy, R. E.; Chivers, T.; Kitching, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *88*, 467.




Figure 5. Cyclic voltammogram of **1b** (1.4 mM) and ferrocene (0.6 mM) in THF at a Au electrode at 21 °C, [tBu $_4$ N][PF $_6$] (0.4 M), $\nu = 0.2$ V s $^{-1}$.

indicate that, upon oxidation of **1b**, the lithium ion complexes with THF to give [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ As $^\bullet$ and Li(THF) $_4^+$. The intermediacy of such lithium complexes has been implicated previously in related systems.³³ The return wave would then correspond to reduction of the electrochemically generated radical at +1.24 V. Two irreversible oxidation processes occurred in CH $_2$ Cl $_2$ on GC, Pt, and Au, at +0.9 V and +1.3 V, respectively, with no evidence for return waves. The insolubility of **1b** in CH $_3$ CN precluded electrochemical measurements in that solvent.

Comparison of redox potentials for irreversible processes and among different solvent/electrode combinations is fraught with difficulties. Follow-up reactions, whether decomposition or adsorption, can significantly displace apparent E° values. Even with such caveats, these two incompatible sets of peak potentials (i.e., -1.3 V for the 0/+1 couple in **4** and 1.24 V for the -1/0 couple in LiAs[PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ (**1b**) indicate that the existence of the neutral radical [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ As $^\bullet$ is likely to be thermodynamically unfavorable. Insofar as they do reflect the redox transformations of [Asbam $_2$] y ($y = -1, 0, +1$), it appears that the strong complexation of lithium

in **1b** has a powerful stabilizing effect. Thus, the onset of oxidation of this species is found at least several volts more anodic than observed for the $y = 0/+1$ couple in **4**.

Attempts to generate the radical [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ As $^\bullet$ by SEEPR, an *in situ* method optimized for the detection of short-lived, electrochemically generated radicals,¹⁰ by reductive electrolysis of **4** at -1.3 V in CH $_3$ CN or oxidative electrolysis of **1b** at +0.9 V in CH $_2$ Cl $_2$ or at 1.3 V in THF, did not produce a detectable EPR-active species.

Conclusions

Intriguingly, DFT calculations predict that the formal addition of two electrons to the neutral group 13 radicals [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ M $^\bullet$ (M = Al, Ga, In) to give the corresponding group 15 systems [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ M $^\bullet$ (M = P, As, Sb, Bi) results in a dramatic change in the electronic structure from one in which the unpaired electron density is equally localized on the four nitrogen atoms of the two *bam* ligands to systems that can be described as pnictogen-centered radicals. Oxidation of the anion [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ As $^-$ with sulfonyl chloride resulted in B-Cl bond formation, the first example of reactivity at the boron center in *bam* complexes,¹ and the subsequent characterization of the spirocyclic cation [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ As $^+$ isoelectronic with the neutral germanium spirocycle [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ Ge.³⁴ Investigations of the chemical redox behavior of the [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ As $^-$ anion and the [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ As $^+$ cation showed that a two-electron process is favored over a one-electron process. Although the voltammetric studies suggest one-electron processes, no direct evidence for the independent existence of the [PhB(μ -N'Bu) $_2$] $_2$ As $^\bullet$ radical was obtained by SEEPR experiments.

Acknowledgment. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada), the Alberta Ingenuity Fund (T.L.R. and A.M.C.), and the Academy of Finland (H.M.T.).

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic files in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

IC702435E

- (33) (a) Armstrong, A.; Chivers, T.; Parvez, M.; Boere, R. T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **2004**, *43*, 502. (b) Armstrong, A.; Chivers, T.; Parvez, M.; Schatte, G.; Boeré, R. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 3453. (c) Armstrong, A.; Chivers, T.; Tuononen, H. M.; Parvez, M.; Boeré, R. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 7981.
- (34) Konu, J.; Chivers, T. unpublished results.